UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                     | FILING DATE                   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/591,762                          | 09/01/2006                    | Timo Tokkonen        | KOL.221.WUS         | 7880             |
| <sup>76385</sup><br>Hollingsworth & | 7590 07/06/200<br>& Funk. LLC | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| 8009 34th Aven                      |                               | DAGLAWI, AMAR A      |                     |                  |
| Suite 125<br>Minneapolis, M         | N 54425                       |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| •                                   |                               |                      | 2618                |                  |
|                                     |                               |                      |                     |                  |
|                                     |                               |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                                     |                               |                      | 07/06/2009          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)    |  |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/591,762      | TOKKONEN ET AL. |  |
| Examiner        | A =4 1 1 == 14  |  |
| LXAIIIIIei      | Art Unit        |  |

|   | AMAR DAGLAWI   | 2618  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the o  | correspondence add  | ress                                     |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>04 June 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF   | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A   | LLOWANCE.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appel for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:  | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi<br>eal (with appeal fee) in compliance   | t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or          | hich places the (3) a Request            |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date  | of the final rejection.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | tension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply origing<br>than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |  |  |  |  |
| 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in comp  | liance with 27 CEP 41 27 must be   | filed within two months                                   | of the data of                           |  |  |  |  |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br>Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w   | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| AMENDMENTS  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo<br>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet  | **   | duaina ar aimhlifuina ti                                  | aa laayaa far                            |  |  |  |  |
| appeal; and/or  | ter form for appear by materially rec  | adding or simplifying ti                                  | ie issues ioi                            |  |  |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a  | corresponding number of finally reje   | ected claims.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  | , ,  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).  |  | timely filed amendmer                                     | nt canceling the                         |  |  |  |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |  | l be entered and an e                                     | xplanation of                            |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,6-11,13-21,23,26,27</u> .   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. 🗌 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  See Continuation Sheet.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.   | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| /Duc Nguyen/  | Amar Daglawi   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2618  | Examiner<br>Art Unit: 2618   |   |  |  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Back et al (US 6,655,586) fails to teach "executing software instructions read from said at least one radio frequency tag to perform a device operation that is described in the user manual in connection with said at least one radio frequency tag and that the dynamic content associated with a page of a book is stored and controlled by the page management system and that no software instructions read from radio frequency tags. However, Even though Back does not explicitly state software instructions are read from said radio frequency tag, the Examiner however believes that it is well know in the art that identification tags in which for instance such as those developed by Texas instruments (the Tag-it.tm.) have embedded softtware instructions (RFID transceiver) which when read by a reader, the reader executes information embedded in the tag.(see col.3, lines 13-45). These identifier tags are embedded in pages of a document and each of these identifier tags identifies the particular page that a reader is viewing and the page identification management system determines whether the page identifications have related dynamic content. If there is dynamic content associated with the page identifications, the page identification management system forwards control information to the appropriate dynamic content device such as a personal computer.